UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,485	05/24/2006	Joachim Moormann	RO4246US (#90568)	2532
7590 11/05/2009 Sean F Mellino D Peter Hochberg			EXAMINER	
			PALENIK, JEFFREY T	
1940 East 6th St-6th Floor Cleveland, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/580,485	MOORMANN ET AI	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Required for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	the
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension in have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee 2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 6. ☑ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2 and 39. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 25-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-24 and 38.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	а
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because	:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	
/Jeffrey T. Palenik/ /Robert A. Wax/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615 SPE, Art Unit 1615	

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicants' amendments cancelling claim 2 and amendment to claim would be sufficient enough to overcome the objections to claims 2 and 39. The cancellation of claim 2 and amendment to claim 37 would be sufficient to overcome the lack of antecedent basis rejection made under 35 USC 112, second paragraph..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' remarks concerning the combination of the two Asmussen references ('511) and ('117) have been fully considered, but are unpersuasive and thus fail to overcome the rejection of records under 35 USC 103. The Examiner acknowledges that Applicants have also submitted a certified translation for German Foreign Priority Application (DE) 103 54 894.7, thereby perfecting the priority date of the instant Application to 24 November 2003. Applicants further allege that since the PCT filing date of the '117 Asmussen reference is 23 April 2004, that the instant Application now antedates this reference and that the rejection necessarily falls because Asmussen '117 is no longer available as art. To this remark the Examiner respectfully submits that the Asmussen '117 reference also has acknowledges priority to German Foreign Priority Application (DE) 103 38 544.4, filed 19 August 2003. At present the Examiner prosecuting the case appears to have granted this priority, thereby making the '117 reference available as art under 102(e). As this appears to be the case, it follows that the '117 reference is still available as art, absent a showing of evidence to the contrary. In view of the forgoing, the rejections to claims 1-15 and 25-40 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Asmussen '117 and '511 are maintained..